

JESSE LASLOVICH  
United States Attorney  
MADISON L. MATTIOLI  
MT Bar No. 36411284  
ABBIE J.N. CZIOK  
MT Bar No. 55781377  
Assistant U.S. Attorneys  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
901 Front Street, Suite 1100  
Helena, MT 59626  
Phone: (406) 457-5269 – Madison  
(406) 457-5268 – Abbie  
Fax: (406) 457-5130  
Email: madison.mattioli@usdoj.gov  
abbie.cziok@usdoj.gov

MARK STEGER SMITH  
MT Bar No. 4160  
TIMOTHY A. TATARKA  
CA Bar No. 277219  
Assistant U.S. Attorneys  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
James F. Battin Federal Courthouse  
2601 2nd Ave. North, Suite 3200  
Billings, MT 59101  
Phone: (406) 247-4667 – Mark  
(406) 247-4642 – Tim  
Fax: (406) 657-6058  
Email: mark.smith3@usdoj.gov  
timothy.tatarka@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants and  
Defendant United States of America.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION**

CALIFORNIA COALITION FOR WOMEN  
PRISONERS; et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL BUREAU OF  
PRISONS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-04155

**JOINT NOTICE REGARDING  
PROPOSED INTERVENORS'  
MOTION TO UNSEAL COURT  
RECORDS AND PROTECT  
ACCESS TO COURT  
PROCEEDINGS (DKT. NO. 317)**

Judge: Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Date: July 30, 2024

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 1 (Fourth Floor)

1 Following a meet-and-confer between counsel for Federal Defendants and counsel for Proposed  
2 Intervenor (together, “the Parties”), Federal Defendants have agreed that additional documents should be  
3 unsealed. The Parties’ updated positions on individual sealing requests are included in Exhibit 1.

4 Broadly, Federal Defendants agree that all motions to seal and declarations in support of motions  
5 to seal were improperly filed under seal and should be unsealed. Federal Defendants also agree that, to  
6 the extent the Court unseals any of its Orders, documents responsive to sealed orders can be unsealed with  
7 redaction of personal identifying information. Federal Defendants take no position on whether any Court  
8 orders should be unsealed.

9 The sealing of the following documents remains in dispute for the reasons originally identified in  
10 the Parties’ briefing: Dkt. Nos. 45-4, 45-5. As previously stated, Proposed Intervenor cannot assess  
11 whether underlying documents should be unsealed without seeing the motion to seal (Dkt. No. 45).

12 Further, the sealing of the following documents remains in dispute because Federal Defendants  
13 now assert that there are new compelling interests in sealing that outweigh the public’s right of access:  
14 Dkt. Nos. 159-3, 172-2, 176-3, 176-4, 176-5, 184-3, 184-4, 184-5, 197-3, 197-6, and 206-3. As to these  
15 documents, Proposed Intervenor take the position that Federal Defendants must file renewed  
16 administrative motions to seal that “point to ‘compelling reasons’ supporting sealing, supported by  
17 specific factual findings.” *Forbes Media LLC v. United States*, 61 F.4th 1072, 1081 (9th Cir. 2023) (citing  
18 *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)).

19 The Parties seek the Court’s guidance on how to proceed with refile documents that no longer  
20 require sealing. As an initial step, the Parties propose that the Court order the unsealing of documents  
21 Federal Defendants agree no longer need to remain sealed. A proposed order outlining this approach is  
22 attached.

23 With regard to oral argument scheduled for July 30, 2024, Federal Defendants note that they do  
24 not oppose Proposed Intervenor’s motion to intervene, and believe it can be granted without argument.  
25 However, Federal Defendants respectfully request additional time to prepare renewed administrative  
26 motions to seal, and request that the Court postpone any hearing on Proposed Intervenor’s requests to  
27 unseal for at least 21 days, or until the documents identified in Exhibit 1 have been unsealed, and all  
28 renewed administrative motions to seal have been filed.

Proposed Intervenor's reiterate their request that the Court consider and rule on their motions promptly to avoid irreparable harm, which includes ruling on Proposed Intervenor's Motion to Intervene, Dkt. No. 316, and requests to (1) unseal sealed court orders and trial transcripts; (2) issue orders articulating the legal and factual basis for sealing when the Court determines sealing is necessary and appropriate; (3) enter minute orders for all closed hearings held between April 15, 2024 and May 8, 2024; and (4) consistent with any unsealing of material previously requested sealed by Federal Defendants, order the related unsealing of any documents filed under seal by Plaintiffs. *See* Dkt. No. 317. As discussed in Proposed Intervenor's Motion to Unseal, "a necessary corollary of the right to access is a right to timely access." *Courthouse News Serv. v. Planet*, 947 F.3d 581, 594 (9th 2020). And where the right of access is grounded in the First Amendment, as it is here, "[e]ach passing day" without access to records "may constitute a separate and cognizable infringement of the First Amendment." *Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 126 (2d Cir. 2006) (alteration in original) (holding that a court's delay in ruling on a motion to intervene and unseal records was "effectively a denial of any right to contemporaneous access" that infringed on First Amendment rights).

Dated: July 23, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
DISTRICT OF MONTANA

By: /s/ Madison L. Mattioli

Madison L. Mattioli, Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Attorneys for Federal Defendants

PUBLIC JUSTICE

By: /s/ Jaqueline Aranda Osorno

Jaqueline Aranda Osorno  
Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor's

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), the filer hereby attests that all signatories listed above, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content, and have authorized the filing.

DATED: July 23, 2024

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
DISTRICT OF MONTANA

By: /s/ Madison L. Mattioli  
Madison L. Mattioli

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

PUBLIC JUSTICE

By: /s/Jaqueline Aranda Osorno  
Jaqueline Aranda Osorno

Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors